

Michael Meier Fang Wei

Georges-Köhler Allee, Geb. 51 D-79110 Freiburg Tel. (0761) 203-8126 Tel. (0761) 203-8125

## Webbasierte Informationssysteme Winter Term 2010/2011 December 8, 2010

## Seventh Exercise Set: SPARQL

## Exercise 1

We write  $P_1 \equiv P_2$  for two SPARQL queries  $P_1$ ,  $P_2$  if and only if  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  yield the same result on every possible RDF document.

Let A, B, and C be SPARQL graph patterns, i.e. they are composed of BGPs, Union, Join and Leftjoin.

Note that we use LEFTJOIN(A, B) as an abbreviation for LEFTJOIN(A, B, true). Assume that filter conditions are built from variables, URIs, literals, the bound operator, equality and the logical connectives  $!, \land, \lor$ 

Let A be a SPARQL graph pattern. Function cVars(A) extracts the set of so-called *certain variables* and is recursively defined as

cVars(BGP(T)):= vars(T), where vars(T) is defined as the set of variables in T  $cVars(Join(A_1, A_2))$  $:= c Vars(A_1) \cup c Vars(A_2)$  $cVars(Union(A_1, A_2)) := cVars(A_1) \cap cVars(A_2)$  $cVars(Minus(A_1, A_2)) := cVars(A_1)$  $cVars(Leftjoin(A_1, A_2)) := cVars(Join(A_1, A_2)) \cap cVars(Minus(A_1, A_2))$  $cVars(Filter(A_1, F))$  $:= c Vars(A_1)$ 

Function pVars(A) extracts the set of so-called *possible variables* and is recursively defined as

pVars(BGP(T)):= vars(T), where vars(T) is defined as the set of variables in T  $pVars(Join(A_1, A_2))$  $:= p Vars(A_1) \cup p Vars(A_2)$  $pVars(Union(A_1, A_2)) := pVars(A_1) \cup pVars(A_2)$  $pVars(Minus(A_1, A_2)) := pVars(A_1)$  $pVars(Leftjoin(A_1, A_2)) := pVars(Join(A_1, A_2)) \cup pVars(Minus(A_1, A_2))$  $pVars(Filter(A_1, F))$  $:= p Vars(A_1)$ 

We define the class  $\hat{A}$  of SPARQL graph patterns recursively as follows. A SPARQL graph pattern  $\hat{A}$  is contained in  $\widetilde{A}$  iff

- $\widetilde{A} := BGP(T)$  is a basic graph pattern,
- $\widetilde{A} := Join(\widetilde{A_1}, \widetilde{A_2})$ , where  $\widetilde{A_1}$  and  $\widetilde{A_2}$  are  $\widetilde{A}$  expressions,
- $\widetilde{A} := Leftjoin(\widetilde{A}_1, \widetilde{A}_2)$ , where  $\widetilde{A}_1$  and  $\widetilde{A}_2$  are  $\widetilde{A}$  expressions,
- $\widetilde{A} := Filter(\widetilde{A}_1, F)$ , where F is a filter condition and  $\widetilde{A}_1 \in \widetilde{A}$ ,

•  $\widetilde{A} := Union(\widetilde{A}_1, \widetilde{A}_2)$ , where  $\widetilde{A}_1 \ \widetilde{A}_2$  are  $\widetilde{A}$  expressions and  $p Vars(\widetilde{A}_1) = c Vars(\widetilde{A}_1) = p Vars(\widetilde{A}_2) = c Vars(\widetilde{A}_2)$ .

Let A be a SPARQL graph pattern and let  $\Omega_A$  denote the mapping set obtained when evaluating A on any document D. Prove the following statements:

- If  $?x \in cVars(A)$  then  $\forall \mu \in \Omega_A : ?x \in dom(\mu)$ .
- For all  $\mu \in \Omega_A$ :  $?x \in dom(\mu)$  then  $?x \in pVars(A)$ .
- Describe in your own words the intuition of certain and possible variables. Hint: look closely at the first two bullets of this exercise.
- If pVars(A) = cVars(A) then  $\forall \mu_1, \mu_2 \in \Omega_A : dom(\mu_1) = dom(\mu_2)$ .
- Assume that  $A \in \widetilde{A}$ . Show that every two mappings  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \Omega_A$  such that  $\mu_1 \neq \mu_2$  it holds that they are incompatible.

## Exercise 2

Let A, B, and C be SPARQL graph patterns from  $\tilde{A}$  as defined in the previous exercise. Prove that the following equivalences holds.

- a) Leftjoin $(A, A) \equiv A$
- b)  $\operatorname{JOIN}(A, A) \equiv A$
- c) Leftjoin $(A, B) \equiv$  Leftjoin(A, Join(A, B))
- d) Let  $?x \in cVars(B) \setminus pVars(A)$ . FILTER(LEFTJOIN(A, B), bound(?x))  $\equiv$  JOIN(A, B)
- e) Let for all variables ?x in F be either ?x  $\in cVars(A)$  or ?x  $\notin pVars(B)$ . FILTER(JOIN(A, B), F)  $\equiv$  JOIN(FILTER(A, F), B)
- f) Let for all variables ?x in F be either  $?x \in cVars(A)$  or  $?x \notin pVars(B)$ . FILTER(LEFTJOIN $(A, B), F) \equiv$ LEFTJOIN(FILTER(A, F), B)

Due by: December 15, 2010 before the tutorial starts.